UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,414	09/30/2005	Keiichi Kawagoe	Q90666	4000
65565 SUGHRUE-265	7590 09/16/201 ¹ 5 550	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW			ANDERSON, REBECCA L	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/16/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

SUGHRUE265550@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/551,414	KAWAGOE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	REBECCA L. ANDERSON	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the reamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATER 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	21 June 2010.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.					
·—						
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,10,14,28 and 32-35</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>28 and 35</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,10 and 14</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,10,14 and 32-34</u> is/are objected	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,10,14 and 32-34</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached Of	ffice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	🗖					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		mary (PTO-413) ail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/9/10.		nal Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1, 10, 14, 28 and 32-35 are currently pending in the instant application.

Claims 1, 10 and 14 are rejected. Claims 1, 10, 14 and 32-34 are objected. Claims 28 and 35 are withdrawn from consideration as being for non-elected subject matter.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 21 June 2010 has been entered.

Response to Amendment and Arguments

Applicants' amendment and arguments filed 21 June 2010 has been considered and entered into the instant application.

Applicants' amendment to the claims has overcome the 35 USC 102(b) rejection over Graziani (abstract).

In regards to the claim objections, as the claims are still rejected under 35 USC 102(b), the objection is maintained as the claims still include withdrawn subject matter.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I and the further election of the compound (4-pyridinecarboxyaldehyde 4-(oxazole-5-yl)phenylhydrazone) in the reply filed on 8 July 2008 has been previously acknowledged.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,414 Page 3

Art Unit: 1626

As per MPEP 803.02, the examiner will determine whether the entire scope of the claims is patentable. Applicants' elected species is considered allowable and Applicant has overcome the previous 35 USC 102(b) rejections. Therefore, according to MPEP 803.02:

Following election, the Markush-type claim will be examined fully with respect to the elected species and further to the extent necessary to determine patentability. If the Markush-type claim is not allowable **, the provisional election will be given effect and examination will be limited to the Markush-type claim and claims to the elected species, with claims drawn to species patentably distinct from the elected species held withdrawn from further consideration. the elected species shall be rejected, and claims to the nonelected species will be held withdrawn from further consideration.

As the elected species has been found allowable, the examiner has expanded the search and examination to the following compound:

Claims 1, 10, 14 and 32-34 have been examined to the extent that they are readable on the elected embodiment, the elected species and the additional species indicated above. Since the elected embodiment is not allowable, subject matter not embraced by the elected embodiment is therefore withdrawn from further consideration. Claims 28 and 35 are therefore withdrawn from consideration as being for non-elected subject matter. It has been determined that the entire scope claimed is not patentable.

Art Unit: 1626

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 10, 14, 32 and 33 are objected to as containing non-elected subject matter. Claims 1, 6, 10, 14, 32 and 33 presented drawn solely to the elected embodiment would overcome this objection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 34 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 10 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Willitzer et al., DD 152786.

Willitzer et al. discloses the compound:

on page 3, table 1 wherein R1 is H

and R2 is p-CH3-O-C6H5-CH=N-, see the first table in the reference. Administration data in ml/L is also disclosed. Please note that the intended use in claim 14 does not

render a known or obvious composition patentable, see In re Tuominen, 213 USPQ 89 (CCPA 1982). Additionally, a recitation of the intended utility in the preamble does not impart patentability to a known composition. In re Spada, 911 F.2d 705, 15 USPQ.2d 1655 (Fed. Cir. 1990). The compound in Willitzer corresponds to applicants instantly claimed invention wherein R1 is H; R2 is phenyl substituted with one Group (A); wherein Group (A) is alkoxy; R3 is H; Ar is phenylene; X is a single bond; and G is oxazole substituted with two group (C) substituents wherein group (C) is cyano and amino.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Rebecca L. Anderson whose telephone number is (571) 272-0696. Mrs. Anderson can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 6:00am until 2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane, can be reached at (571) 272-0699.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,414 Page 6

Art Unit: 1626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Rebecca Anderson/ Primary Examiner, AU 1626

Rebecca Anderson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1626, Group 1620 Technology Center 1600 10 September 2010